



SOCIETY OF  
ACTUARIES

REINSURANCE  
SECTION

# Reinsurance News

ISSUE 82 • SEPTEMBER 2015

## Results Of The 2014 SOA Life Reinsurance Survey

PAGE 4

By David Bruggeman



### 3 Chairperson's Corner

By Michael Mulcahy

### 4 Results of the 2014 SOA Life Reinsurance Survey

By David Bruggeman

### 9 Risk Perspectives

By John Gordon

### 12 Claims and Innovations in

#### Australia: The Phoenix Rises

By André Dreyer

### 15 SOA Annual Meeting Session 43

#### – Current State of the COLI/BOLI Market

By Gregory A. Brandner

### 18 ReFocus 2016 – An interview with the ReFocus Committee

By Mike Kaster

### 20 What Drives an Actuary to Buy a Safari Lodge in South Africa?

By Rolf Steiner

### 22 Reinsurance Research Review

By Ronora Stryker

### 24 New Brazilian Reinsurance Rules – A significant change of course

By João Marcelo dos Santos

### 27 Term Conversion Survey Results

By Kyle Proebsting and Lindsay  
Meisinger

# Reinsurance News

**Issue Number 58 • SEPTEMBER 2015**

Published by the Reinsurance Section  
Council of the Society of Actuaries  
This newsletter is free to section members.  
Current issues are available on  
the SOA website ([www.soa.org](http://www.soa.org)).

To join the section, SOA members and  
non-members can locate a membership  
form on the Reinsurance Section Web page  
at <http://www.soa.org/reinsurance>.

This publication is provided for  
informational and educational  
purposes only. The Society of  
Actuaries makes no endorsement,  
representation or guarantee with regard  
to any content, and disclaims any  
liability in connection with  
the use or misuse of any information  
provided herein. This publication  
should not be construed as  
professional or financial advice.  
Statements of fact and opinions  
expressed herein are those of the  
individual authors and  
are not necessarily those of the  
Society of Actuaries.

© 2015 Society of Actuaries. All rights  
reserved.

## **Call for Articles for next issue of Reinsurance News.**

While all articles are welcome, we  
would especially like to receive articles on  
topics that would be of particular interest to  
Reinsurance Section members.

Please e-mail your articles to  
Richard Jennings ([richardcjennings@  
gmail.com](mailto:richardcjennings@gmail.com)) or Ronald Poon-Affat  
([rpoonaffat@rgare.com](mailto:rpoonaffat@rgare.com)).  
Some articles may be edited or  
reduced in length for publication purposes.

## **2015 SECTION LEADERSHIP**

### **Officers**

Michael Mulcahy  
Chairperson

Dustin Hetzler  
Vice Chairperson

Mary Broesch  
Secretary/Treasurer,  
2015 Health Meeting

### **Council Members**

Catherine Bierschbach  
2015 Annual Meeting,  
Continuing Education Coordinator

Scott Campbell,  
Research Coordinator

John Cathcart  
George Hrischenko,  
Webcast Coordinator

Richard Lassow,  
Marketing & Membership  
Coordinator

### **Newsletter Editors**

Richard Jennings  
Ronald Poon-Affat

Sara Goldberg  
Board Partner

### **Other Representatives**

Jeremy Lane  
2015 Life & Annuity Symposium  
Wendy Liang, Friend – International  
Section Liaison

### **SOA Staff**

Jim Miles, Staff Partner  
e: [jmiles@soa.org](mailto:jmiles@soa.org)

Jennifer Foster, Section Specialist  
e: [jfoster@soa.org](mailto:jfoster@soa.org)

Sam Phillips, Staff Newsletter Editor  
e: [sphillips@soa.org](mailto:sphillips@soa.org)

Ronora Stryker  
Staff Research Actuary  
e: [rstryker@soa.org](mailto:rstryker@soa.org)

Julissa Sweeney  
Graphic Designer  
e: [jsweeney@soa.org](mailto:jsweeney@soa.org)

# Claims and Innovations in Australia: The Phoenix Rises

By André Dreyer

The mythological phoenix is known for spectacularly regenerating from its ashes every century. Australia's life insurance industry needs something special to rise from its ashes; claims management innovation promises to give the necessary impetus.

This article was adapted from an earlier article published by the *Asia Insurance Review*, "Special Focus on Life Reinsurance," June 2014 edition and is republished with their consent.

The past few years have been, at best, challenging for Australia's life insurers and reinsurers. Claims experience << for group disability providers had never before experienced a slump of the magnitude seen between 2012 and 2014, while individual disability providers have seen their experience yo-yo since 2011.

The unusually high and unexpected losses experienced by individual income protection (disability) insurers in Australia stemmed from several causes, from underestimating the length of time disability claimants would stay on claim (due to poor product design) to ineffective claims management practices.

By the end of 2013, overall Australian claims experience had deteriorated even more, with significant group lump-sum disability (total and permanent disability, or TPD) losses dominating. The worst, however, was still to come: 2014's large losses in individual income protection and group lump-sum disability combined to result in a record year for disability losses.

Losses attributable to individual policyholder lapsation worsened as well. According to fourth-quarter 2014 statistics from the Australian Prudential Regulation Authority (APRA), the country's financial services industry regulator, life indus-

try profitability is well below expectations, with individual lump sum's improving results the only bright light (see Figure 1 below).

## REINSURERS INCREASE CLAIMS RESERVES BY MORE THAN US\$1 BILLION IN 2013

The most common explanation offered for the Australian market's group disability losses is that the environment had changed so rapidly over the prior decade that using past claims experience to credibly price large group policies had become an unreliable predictor of future claims experience.

However, no one could have anticipated that claims experience would deteriorate as rapidly as it did from 2011 to 2013. Losses in 2013 were quite extreme, contributing to the country's four largest reinsurers collectively increasing reserves by more than US\$1 billion.

These losses sparked a genuine push for structural change in Australia's group disability market. Price increases alone are not likely to return group disability to sustained profitability. Changes to product design, conditions around eligibility for group cover and a paradigm shift in how claims are managed are essential.

Fortunately, by the end of 2014, many Australian life insurance companies had either completed or were planning to revise their claims practices. Some were even revisiting their entire approach to claims management.

Two approaches to tackle the challenges faced by Australian insurers' claims departments appear to dominate. One consists of improving current practices and optimizing the existing claims payment model. The second, bolder approach is to innovate.

Net Industry Profitability




Figure 1: Australia's disability market performance still slumping

## INNOVATION – BURN IT TO THE GROUND

The global life insurance industry has witnessed several innovations over the past decade. Companies in many markets have moved from offering participating to nonparticipating (risk-only) life policies. Critical illness cover, invented 25 years ago and then covering only four impairments, has evolved into a range of offerings including severity-based, multi-pay, early-pay and single impairment policies. Insurers have also developed and introduced functional impairment disability policies to supplement and sometimes replace occupational disability policies.

Underwriting rules engines (UREs), which have been automating new business processes for life insurance companies around the world for more than a decade, were relatively late to Australia, yet were vigorously embraced. The country is now a leading user of e-underwriting.

Until recently, however, claims processes in Australia had seen little innovation. Indeed, few Australian insurers today have automated claims workflow systems. Over the past year, though, the country's life insurers have been implementing a once-in-a-generation sea change in claims management, with changes ranging from automating claim workflows to comprehensive makeovers of the claims management process. These changes were vital: The market could not continue to handle rising incidence rates and claims payment volumes, and insurers understood that rapidly growing demands



on claims professionals needed to be addressed. Pricing and product features may repair future generations of claims risk; however, companies need to address now how to improve the current handling of growing claims volumes and risk exposures.

### A NEW CLAIMS PARADIGM EMERGES

The goal of disability claims management is to provide necessary services, using appropriate resources, in cases where the claimant has the potential to recover, in order to promote maximum recovery and productivity.

Life insurers in Australia know that effective claims management can bring immediate bottom-line results. Hence, some are looking to invest as much as A\$50 million (US\$38 million) in the development of new claims systems and processes in the coming years.

Return-to-work and rehabilitation programs are proven, effective tools in disability claims management. However, the true potential of these management tools as well as others, if used smartly and effectively, has only recently become apparent. The industry is also benefiting from ideas found to be effective in other countries and for affiliated industries such as workers' compensation.

In the late 1990s, Canadian group disability insurers, under pressure for improved results, substantially reinvented their approaches to claims, which shifted the paradigm from claims adjudication (centered largely on the eligibility decision relying on medical diagnosis) to holistic case management (which extends its lens beyond the medical to such important elements as functional and vocational workplace factors). Not only did this broader perspective substantially impact eligibility decisions, it also provided the necessary under-

standing to properly manage a case to a positive resolution.

According to Ms. Maria Vandenhurk, founder and chief executive officer of Banyan Work Health Solutions,<sup>1</sup> Canada's fast-changing health landscape is again forcing group disability insurers to prepare for new challenges.

Canadian claims operations that have not already evolved to "best practice" are under considerable pressure to do so, due to challenges such as:

- Greater complexity in mental illness claims, and the increased presence of "coping with life" issues that are not specifically labeled as mental health. A preponderance of such claims are occurring among individuals under age 40, frequently indicating difficulty coping with "sandwich gen-

CONTINUED ON PAGE 14



eration” stress (simultaneous demands of child care and elder care), financial strain prolonging working careers (especially stemming from the financial crisis), marital breakdowns, and reduced resilience (or perhaps willingness) to work while managing unwelcome and stressful life changes.

- As in most developed markets, Canada’s population is ageing rapidly and a massive exodus of its Baby Boomer labor force is expected through the coming decade. Newer workforce members pose a high level of uncertainty in terms of disability incidence rates and cultural receptiveness to the industry’s claims management techniques.
- The continual and staggering rise of obesity throughout the world’s population is also evident in Canada. Obesity is linked to impairments such as diabetes and cardiovascular disease as well as to mental and other health conditions.

The resulting impact of these trends has been unprecedented. One example: 25 percent of mental health short-term disability claims outside of the province of Quebec were becoming long-term claims, and in Quebec, almost one in two converted to long-term claims! In the United States, by comparison, only 8 percent of mental health short-term disability cases converted to long-term claims.

... continued investments in claims systems, processes and professionals will be critical for Australia’s insurers.

Focus on prevention and minimization of illness and disability impact

“Best practice case management” is a model that focuses on prevention and minimization of the human and economic impact of illness and disability. Moves toward this model in Canada have thus far resulted in considerable gains for the country’s employees and employers. Active stakeholder engagement is central to the approach, involving the employee and employer, the insurer, treatment providers, and union representatives (where applicable). The end result is optimized quality of care, improved productivity and return-to-work rates, organizational health, and as a welcome by-product in Canada, regulatory compliance.

A growing body of medical and psychological research literature provides evidence that work is healthy and contributes to both quality of life and longevity. According to Ms. Vandenhurk, claims decision-makers need to believe they are doing good, seeing themselves as promoters of health and productivity.

In our view, a similarly holistic approach to claims management is much needed in Aus-

tralia. Such an approach, with a focus on incorporating claims assessment, planning, management, with medical and vocational aspects, workflow, use of resources and quality assurance, can help realize a lasting transformation of claim practices. Process improvements are achievable, and change is possible, as many of the practices are reasonably simple to understand, perhaps more challenging to implement, yet fundamentally all the same.

## CONCLUSION

Reinsurers and insurers have been leading the way in implementing new claims management practices in Australia since 2014. Going forward, continued investments in claims systems, processes and professionals will be critical for Australia’s insurers. The disability claims management innovations of the past few years are now part of Australia’s claims landscape, promising stronger and more effective financial outcomes for insurers. Investment in claims transformation and paradigm shifts are much welcomed, and promise to continue for several years to come. The resulting effect on the Australian market could be as exciting and grand as the rebirth of the mythical phoenix. ■

## END NOTES

<sup>1</sup> Banyan is based in Toronto, Canada, and now also has offices in Australia.



André Dreyer is vice president, Alternative Business Models, RGA Reinsurance Company. He was with RGA Australia

from 2010 to the end of 2014, and is now based in Cape Town, South Africa.